

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,065	03/31/2004	Futoshi Kobayashi	CFA00073US	8901
	7590 02/05/200 INC. INTELLECTU	. EXAMINER		
15975 ALTON PARKWAY			THOMAS, BRANDI N	
IRVINE, CA 92618-3731			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
÷			· 2873	
				********
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/816,065	KOBAYASHI, FUT	гоѕні	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		BRANDI N. THOMA	S 2873 ·		
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTI WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL YER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	OATE OF THIS COMI 136(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status					
2a)∏ This 3)∏ Sinc	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 /</u> action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This te this application is in condition for allowated and in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ance except for forma		e merits is	
Disposition o	f Claims				
4a) C 5)	m(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are pending in the applicate of the above claim(s) is/are withdram(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration			
Application P	apers				
10)⊠ The e Appl Repl	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: icant may not request that any objection to the acement drawing sheet(s) including the corrected of the order of the content of the corrected to by the Example 1 is objected to by the Example 2 in the Example	a) accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in a ction is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date		
3) Information	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) )/Mail Date	5) 🔲 No	ice of Informal Pater ice of Informal Patent Application ier: <u>Detailed Action</u> .		

Application/Control Number: 10/816,065

Art Unit: 2873

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ichinokawa (6599035 B2) in view of Shimazaki et al. (5748934) in further view of (JP02003274229A).

Regarding claim 6, Ichinokawa discloses in figures 1-3, an imaging system (100) comprising: a holding unit (1a) holding an image picking-up device (37) that receives light from a subject and generates a signal (col. 4, lines 66-67 and col. 5, lines 1-3 and 9-11); a motor (23) configured to drive a driven member (col. 5, lines 25-29), the motor being arranged closer to the subject side than the holding unit (1a) (col. 5, lines 25-29); and a bar-shaped guide member (11) guiding a guided member (col. 4, lines 13-23), the guide member (11) being arranged closer to the subject side than the holding unit (1a) and movable relatively to the holding unit (1a) in the direction of the optical axis (col. 3, lines 38-43), wherein the holding unit (1a) includes an overhang portion holding the image picking-up device (37) in the direction of the subject side on the optical axis(col. 4, lines 66-67 and col. 5, lines 1-3 and 9-11); but does not specifically disclose wherein the motor is movable relatively to the holding unit in the direction of an optical axis and the motor, and the motor and guide member arranged to overlap the holding unit and the guide member are arranged so as to avoid the overhang portion in the direction perpendicular

Application/Control Number: 10/816,065

Art Unit: 2873

to the optical axis when the motor and the guide member come relatively close to the holding unit. (JP02003274229A) discloses wherein the motor is movable relatively to the holding unit (11) in the direction of an optical axis (abstract). Shimazaki et al. discloses, in figure 1, the motor (10) and the guide member (31 and 32) are arranged so as to avoid the overhang portion in the direction perpendicular to the optical axis when the motor and the guide member come relatively close to the holding unit (11) (col. 2, lines 24-34 and col. 3, lines 62-67). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the device of Ichinokawa with the motor of JP02003274229A for the purpose of moving the chassis fore and back in the lens optical axis (abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the device of Ichinokawa with the motor of Shimazaki et al. for the purpose of moving the holding unit in the optical axis direction (col. 2, lines 24-34 and col. 3, lines 62-67).

Regarding claim 7, Ichinokawa discloses in figures 1-3, an imaging system (100), wherein the holding unit (1a) includes a tilting unit tilting an imaging surface of the image picking-up device (37) (col. 4, lines 67 and col. 5, lines 1-6), and wherein the motor (23) and the guide member (11) are arranged within a cylinder substantially containing the tilting unit (col. 4, lines 67 and col. 5, lines 1-6) but does not specifically disclose the motor and the guide member are arranged so as to avoid the overhang portion in the direction perpendicular to the optical axis when the motor and the guide member come relatively close to the holding unit. Shimazaki et al. discloses, in figure 1, wherein the motor (10) and the guide member (31 and 32) are arranged so as to avoid the overhang portion in the direction perpendicular to the optical axis when the motor and the guide member come relatively close to the holding unit (11) (col. 2, lines 24-34 and col.

Art Unit: 2873

3, lines 62-67). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the device of Ichinokawa with the motor of Shimazaki et al. for the purpose of moving the holding unit in the optical axis direction (col. 2, lines 24-34 and col. 3, lines 62-67).

## Response to Arguments

3 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDI N. THOMAS whose telephone number is (571)272-2341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,065

Art Unit: 2873

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brandi N Thomas Examiner Art Unit 2873

BNT

January 30, 2008

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER